

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/440,468	11/15/1999	Paul Febvre	1487.0150000	3002
7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER	
David K S Kornwell Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox PLLC 1100 New York Avenue N W Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005-3934			NGUYEN, TU X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	22
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/440,468	FEBVRE ET AL.9			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tu X Nguyen	2684			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS COMMUNICATION COMMUNI	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimur eriod will apply and will expire SIX (statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 September 2003 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un ion of Claims					
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-12,26 and 27</u> is/are pending in	the application				
٠,٣٩	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 13-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requireme	nt.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a					
445	Applicant may not request that any objection		·			
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on _					
12\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	anima main it and a OF II	0 0 0 140() ()) (0			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
a)		anta haya haan rasaiya	1			
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		—— —			
* (application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	 The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don 					
Attachmen		•				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the amendment, dated 9/11/2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 6 and 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter "receiving status reports from said group of transceivers in an access channel shared with other transceivers" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/440,468

Art Unit: 2684

5. Claims 1-3 and 5-11 and 26-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanno et al. (US Patent 6,078,572).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Tanno et al. disclose a method of controlling transmissions by a group of wireless transceivers, comprising:

transmitting to each of said group a status reporting command (see col.2 lines 14-17); and

receiving status reports from said group of transceivers in an access channel shared (see col.5 lines 3-12 and col.7 line 25 through col.8 line 30) with other transceivers in said group at intervals determined according to said status reporting command (col.2 lines 18-20).

Regarding claims 3, 8 and 11, Tanno et al. disclose the capacity of said channel is shared between said status reports and data transmitted by one or more of said group according to a channel allocation scheme transmitted to said transceivers (see col.3 lines 1-32).

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Tanno et al. disclose said status reports indicate a quantity of data awaiting transmission by the respective transceivers (see col.7 lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 10, Tanno et al. disclose transmitting a status report in an access channel shared with other transceivers indicating an amount of data awaiting transmission by said transceiver and the required transmission time of at least some of data (see col.2 lines 14-20 and col.7 line 25 through col.8 line 30).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 26, Tanno et al. disclose a method of controlling transmission by a wireless transceiver in a channel shared with transmission by other transceivers, comprising:

Monitoring (34) data transmitted to said transceiver;

Predicting (35), on the basis of said monitoring step, a demand for capacity in said channel by said transceiver; and

Transmitting to said transceiver an allocation signal indicating an allocation in said channel determined according to said predicted demand (see col.2 lines 15-20 and col.20 lines 1-4).

Regarding claim 27, Tanno et al. disclose generating a statistical (35) model based on previous traffic flow to and from wireless transceivers, wherein the demand for capacity is predicted according to said statistical model.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanno et al. and further in view of Chuah (US Patent 6,327,254).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,468

Art Unit: 2684

8 - N - 1

Regarding claim 4, Tanno et al. fail to disclose the intervals indicated by said status reporting commands are determined according to the demand by the transceivers for capacity in said channel.

Chuah discloses the intervals indicated by said status reporting commands are determined according to the demand by the transceivers for capacity in said channel (see abstract). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Tanno et al. with the above teaching of Chuah in order to provide remote terminals divided into one or more separate groups for purposes of uplink and downlink transmission, with each group having a different priority and receiving a different system virtual time (as suggested by Chuah, see col.5 lines24-32).

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanno et al. and further in view of Usui et al. (US Patent 6,430,172).

Regarding claim 12, Tanno et al. fail to disclose said status report includes:

- a length field indicating the amount of data awaiting transmission;
- a start time field indicating the required transmission time of a first portion of said data awaiting transmission; and

and end time field indicating the required transmission time of a last portion of said data awaiting transmission.

Usui et al. disclose the amount of data awaiting transmission (see col.7 lines 11-20); a start time field (see col.8 lines 63-65) and end time field (see col.9 lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2684

Page 6

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Tanno et al. with the above teaching of Usui et al. in order to provide a constant transfer rate is obtained without being affected by an increase or decrease of traffic.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

October 28, 2003

1

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER